ENCEPHALOS 62, 55-59, 2025

Occupational Therapy Approaches to Sensory Regulation in Traumatic Brain Injury Patients

Myrto Patagia Bakaraki[1], Theofanis Dourbois[2]

Summary

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) often comes with sensory hyperarousal, a condition that gets in the way and makes life worse for patients. Occupational therapy is one of the most effective interventions, providing personalized and evidence-based solutions to manage this dysfunction. By regulating the sensory environment, integrating activities to enhance sensory integration, and involving caregivers in the rehabilitation process, occupational therapy interventions aim to balance stimuli and responses. This paper will show the importance of occupational therapy in reducing sensory hyperarousal and how individualized approaches can increase patients' autonomy and social inclusion.

Keywords: traumatic brain injury, sensory hyperarousal, occupational therapy, sensory integration, individualized interventions, neurorehabilitation

[1] Professor of Occupational Therapy, Department of Occupational

[2] Military Nurse, 251 General Air Force Hospital.

E-mail: mbakaraki@uniwa.gr

Tln: +30 6949942921

Introduction

TBI is one of the top causes of disability in the world with 69 million cases every year (Dewan et al., 2018). The effects of TBI are many and varied, cognitive, motor, and emotional. Among the severe ones, sensory hyperarousal is key, impacting daily life, social participation,n and overall quality of life for patients. This condition is when you can't regulate sensory input and overreact to environmental stimuli like sound, light, or touch (Williams & Sharp, 2015).

Sensory hyperarousal is a challenge for healthcare professionals as it hinders overall rehabilitation. While research on TBI has focused on general neurological outcomes, targeted interventions for sensory hyperarousal are not well documented (Levin et al., 2021).

Occupational therapy is effective in managing sensory disorders based on the sensory integration theory by Ayres (2005). According to this theory, processing sensory input is key to functionality and daily participation. Interventions using sensory integration principles involve activities that stimulate the sensory systems to improve neuroplasticity and overall organism response. Occupational therapy also focuses on environmental regulation and educating patients and their caregivers to self-management and reducing the effects of hyperarousal (Pfeiffer et al., 2011).

This study reports on primary research with patients with TBI and sensory hyperarousal. The goal was to evaluate the effectiveness of occupational therapy interventions, including environmental modification, sensory integration activities, and self-regulation training. The findings will add to the evidence on occupational therapy interventions and their impact onthe quality of life and function of patients with TBI.

Theoretical Framework

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) often comes with sensory hyperarousal, a condition that gets in the way and makes life worse for patients. Occupational therapy is one of the most effective interventions, providing personalized and evidence-based solutions to manage this dysfunction. By regulating the sensory environment, integrating activities to enhance sensory integration, and involving caregivers in the rehabilitation process, occupational therapy interventions

aim to balance stimuli and responses. This paper will show the importance of occupational therapy in reducing sensory hyperarousal and how individualized approaches can increase patients' autonomy and social inclusion.

Sensory overload is a complex and multifaceted phenomenon that arises from the central nervous system (CNS) processing of sensory information. For patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI), sensory overload is a common complication that results from structural and functional changes in the brain and has severe consequences for daily life, social participation, and mental health (Levin et al., 2021).

Neurological Approach to Sensory Overload

Sensory overload is caused by dysfunctions in the areas of the brain that process sensory information. The thalamus is the main “relay station” for sensory signals. Damage to thalamic connections means the cortex gets too much or too little information and you become hypersensitive (sensitivity amplification) or unable to filter out information (Williams & Sharp, 2015).

The prefrontal areas of the brain that interpret and regulate sensory experiences are under-functioning in people with TBI and you react to external factors like light, noise,e or physical contact (Levin et al., 2021). There are also big changes in the connections between the Default Mode Network (DMN) and the Executive Control Network (ECN) so you can’t suppress irrelevant information (Sharp et al., 2014).

Theory of Sensory Integration

The theory of sensory integration by Ayres (2005) is about the processing of sensory information and how it relates to function. The theory is based on the idea that the smooth interpretation of sensory input by the vestibular, proprioceptive, auditory, and tactile systems is necessary for cognitive, motor, and emotional development (Parham et al., 2011).

In TBI, the coordination of the sensory systems is disrupted and we can’t synthesize the sensory information. This results in phenomena such as tactile defensiveness or auditory hypersensitivity which are common after injury (Miller et al., 2007).

Occupational Therapy Interventions

Occupational therapy is a mainstay in managing sensory hyperarousal and has interventions to suit each patient.

1. Sensory Integration Activities

Sensory integration activities are based on controlled sensory input to adapt neural networks. For example, vestibular stimulation exercises such as swings or balance boards help restore balance and coordination (Pfeiffer et al., 2011).

2. Environmental Modification

Occupational therapy focuses on creating an environment that reduces sensory overload. Techniques such as using light filters, soundproofing materials, and avoiding intense visual patterns are effective in managing hyperarousal (Parham et al., 2011).

3. Self-Regulation Training

Self-regulation training involves understanding individual challenges and applying practical strategies such as using noise-reduction devices or relaxation exercises to reduce stress (Schaaf et al., 2014).

Biological Basis of Neuroplasticity

Neuroplasticity is the foundation of sensory interventions. Continuous exposure to sensory integration activities creates new synapses and reorganizes neural networks. Brain flexibility after TBI is dependent on the frequency and intensity of interventions so individualization is key (Kleim & Jones, 2008).

Ethical and Social Aspects

Sensory interventions rely on the community and caregivers. Educating families about sensory hyperarousal reduces stigma and increases social support. And interventions must be culturally sensitive and patient preference-based (Case-Smith et al., 2015).

Methodology

Study Design

This was a prospective, experimental study to evaluate the effectiveness of occupational therapy interventions for individuals with traumatic brain injury (TBI) and sensory hyperarousal. The design was 2 groups: the intervention group and the control group. This allowed for a direct comparison between the groups and to look at the effects of the interventions.

Inclusion Criteria

The study included 30 people, 18-55 years, moderate to severe TBI. Diagnosis confirmed by clinical evaluation and imaging (CT or MRI) and symptoms of sensory hyperarousal as per Sensory Profile. Excluded if had pre-existing neurological or psychiatric conditions that could impact results.

Assessment Tools

Two tools were used to measure the variables. The Sensory Profile was used to measure the sensory difficulties of the participants and provided detailed information about the functioning of the sensory systems. The FIM was used to measure the participants' independence in daily activities.

Intervention Structure

The intervention was 12 weeks long. During this period the experimental group participants attended occupational therapy twice a week, 60 minutes each session. The sessions included sensory integration techniques, such as activities to regulate sensory responses through stimulation of specific systems (e.g. tactile or vestibular). Also, the environment of the participants was modified. Light filters to reduce visual overload and noise-reducing materials to reduce auditory stimuli. Emphasis was given to training the participants and their families on self-regulation strategies to manage hyperarousal at home.

Data Collection

Data was collected at two-time points: before the intervention and after the 12-week program. To get reliable results a standardized evaluation and scoring procedure was used for each participant so the data could be compared between the two time points.

Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed using SPSS. ANOVA was used to compare the experimental and control groups and paired t-tests to compare the experimental group before and after the intervention.

Ethical Approval

The study was in line with ethical guidelines for research with human subjects. Before participation, all participants were fully informed about the study, its purpose, benefits, and risks and signed a written consent form. Data was collected anonymously and stored in a secure database with access restricted to the research team. In this way the data was reliable and the results could be clinically applicable and provide new insights in managing sensory hyperarousal in TBI patients.

Results and Discussion

Results

This study found that occupational therapy interventions had a significant positive effect on functionality, sensory processing, and cognitive performance in patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI). In the experimental group that received the occupational therapy interventions 67% of participants showed a noticeable reduction in sensory integration difficulties with an average increase of 18% on the scales. This is due to the targeted activities that stimulate neuroplasticity and sensory responses. The sensory integration theory (Ayres, 2005) explains these findings as it states that systematic and individualized stimulation can improve the functioning of the nervous system.

Visually 60% of participants showed improvement in visuospatial skills speed and accuracy with an average 12% increase in scores. This is due to the repetitive activities of shape recognition, complex movements under visual guidance, and dynamic stimuli. This is in line with previous research (Smith et al., 2019) that shows targeted visual stimulation can improve spatial awareness and visual processing.

Daily functionality also improved. 73% of participants had a 22% increase in FIM scores. The activities that showed the most improvement were dressing, personal hygiene, mobility, and overall movement. The increased scores show functional recovery and patient autonomy. Relevant literature (Jones et al., 2020) states that these skills are important for overall quality of life and social integration.

Patients also reported significant psychosocial benefits. 80% of patients felt they had improved their quality of life with a 20% increase in well-being scores. Most patients reported reduced anxiety, increased self-esteem, and optimism for the future. This shows that multidimensional occupational therapy intervention is effective in both the physical and psychological aspects of patients (Brown & Davis, 2021).

Discussion

Discussion

The results show occupational therapy is key to TBI rehabilitation, and its role in improving function and quality of life. The targeted occupational therapy interventions seem to be activating neuroplasticity and recovery of

sensory and motor skills and independence in daily activities.

The 18% improvement in sensory processing shows individualized sensory input is important. These results linked to Ayres' sensory integration theory (2005) are interesting as they show that structured sensory stimulation improves brain function.

The 12% improvement in visual processing and perception is also important as it's related to cognitive function and retraining of complex activities. Smith et al (2019) have shown this is the foundation for functional autonomy and this is supported by these results.

Daily function with a 22% average increase in FIM scores shows occupational therapy is important for independence. These are especially important for psychological empowerment and social integration as Jones et al (2020) also said.

The participants' own experiences support the psychosocial benefits of occupational therapy. Anxiety reduction, increase in, self-esteem, and overall improvement in quality of life show occupational therapy is a holistic approach to post-TBI rehabilitation (Brown & Davis, 2021).

Despite these good results, the study has some limitations. The small sample size and short duration of intervention limit the generalisability of the results. Future research could look at long-term outcomes and add more interventions to build on the results.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions

This study shows that occupational therapy is key to the rehabilitation of people with traumatic brain injury (TBI) and that it makes a big difference in many functional and psychosocial outcomes. Targeted and individualized interventions lead to big improvements in physical and cognitive function and more independence and quality of life for patients.

Sensory processing was the area of intervention that stood out, with big reductions in sensory integration difficulties. Visual processing improved a lot and enabled more complex cognitive and motor activities. Improvements in daily living, particularly in self-care and mobility activities, show how important occupational therapy is in promoting autonomy and social reintegration. These results support the use of targeted occupational therapy in TBI rehab, more research, and more application in practice.

Also, the participants reported the multidimensional value of occupational therapy, they reported noticeable improvements in their mental state and overall sense of well-being. The reduction of anxiety and the increase in self-esteem show that these interventions are not only functional but also have a deep psychosocial impact.

Despite these good results this study also has some limitations. The sample size is big enough to give statistically significant results but not big enough to generalize to a bigger population. The short follow-up period doesn't allow us to evaluate long-term outcomes, more research is needed.

Recommendations

Based on the results of this study it is recommended to increase the sample size to larger and more representative population samples. Increasing the sample size will allow generalization of the results and to understand the variations between different subgroups of patients with traumatic brain injury. Long-term follow-up of the participants is also necessary to assess the sustainability of the positive outcomes over time and to see if there is room for further improvement as time goes on.

Integrating technology into occupational therapy is also a direction to be considered. Using tools such as virtual reality and smart devices can make therapy more effective. These tools allow for targeted and repetitive exercises and better monitoring of patient progress.

Also, a personalized approach to interventions is important, to the patient's individual needs, abilities, and goals. Personalization can be done by detailed assessment of each patient's initial characteristics to develop more specific and effective programs. Interdisciplinary collaboration is key to better outcomes. Occupational therapists, neuropsychologists, physiotherapists and other healthcare professionals working together means a whole-person approach to rehabilitation. This coordinated approach will lead to better and more sustainable results.

Finally, the education of patients and their families is highlighted. Their involvement in the therapy process will make interventions more effective. Educational programs on understanding and applying occupational therapy in daily life will be a support to patients and their environments and help them reintegrate socially.

These recommendations, if implemented, can further enhance the therapeutic value of occupational therapy and promote the adoption of innovative approaches to improve the quality of life for individuals with TBI.

Bibliography

Ayres, A. J. (2005). Sensory Integration and the Child. Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services.

Brown, T., & Davis, S. (2021). "Psychosocial benefits of occupational therapy for individuals with traumatic brain injury." Journal of Rehabilitation, 47(4), 120-129.

Case-Smith, J., & Arbesman, M. (2015). "Evidence-based occupational therapy interventions for sensory integration and sensory processing." The American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 69(3), 6903360020.

Dewan, M. C., et al. (2018). "Epidemiology of global traumatic brain injury: Qualitative review." World Neurosurgery, 110, 306-315.

Jones, C., et al. (2020). "Functional outcomes of sensory integration therapy in traumatic brain injury rehabilitation." Neurorehabilitation Journal, 35(2), 130-140.

Kleim, J. A., & Jones, T. A. (2008). "Principles of experience-dependent neural plasticity: Implications for rehabilitation after brain damage." Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 51(1), S225-S239.

Levin, H. S., et al. (2021). "Sensory processing deficits after traumatic brain injury: Mechanisms and interventions." Brain Injury, 35(6), 603-612.

Miller, L. J., et al. (2007). "Sensory integration and its role in the development of functional skills." Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology, 49(9), 715-720.

Parham, L. D., et al. (2011). The Sensory Integration Theory: Evidence and Clinical Applications. Toronto: Elsevier.

Pfeiffer, B., et al. (2011). "Impact of sensory integration therapy on sensory processing and functional performance." American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 65(2), 152-159.

Schaaf, R. C., et al. (2014). "Occupational therapy using sensory integration to improve participation of a child with autism: A case report." American Journal of Occupational Therapy, 68(5), 534-546.

Sharp, D. J., et al. (2014). "The default mode network in traumatic brain injury." Nature Reviews Neurology, 10(10), 597-606.

Smith, R. L., et al. (2019). "Enhancing cognitive and sensory processing in brain injury recovery: A targeted intervention approach." Cognitive Neurorehabilitation, 24(3), 220-230.

Williams, J. B., & Sharp, D. J. (2015). "Sensory processing and sensory integration challenges in TBI patients." Neuroscience Letters, 590, 170-176.